(15-P)

Previous references.

1910.—11

(S.R)

File No. 177—10

Serial Nos. 1—2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—2

Part B. for March 1912

SUBJECT:

Rent for Government buildings occupied as Attock Bonded Depôts

1 From The Excise Commissioner
No 8364 d. 15.6.11.

Forwards a statement prepared in the Chief Engineer's office showing the rents charged for Govt buildings used for bonded depôts and requests that in view of the heavy rates charged, the minimum of Rs 2/- p.m. prescribed in G.O. no 291-324 dated 21-9-82 may be extended to these cases also.

G. R. S. 58

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sep Rev -)

NOTES.

File No. 177-10

FILE HEADING.

Rent for Govt Buildings occupied as Arecd Bonded Depots

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

No. 8364 Dated 15.4.11. Received 19.4.11

Diary No. 4266 | Enclos. | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a statement prepared in the Chief Engineers office showing the rents charged for Govt buildings used for bonded depots and requests that in view of the heavy rates charged, the minimum of Rs 2-p.m. prescribed in G.O.no. 291-314 & 26308 may be extended to these cases also.
445-82

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Para 4 of G.O. referred to says that "the rent to be paid per mensem by any private person for the occupancy of a Govt building will be fixed at the same rate as for Govt offices except that there will be no maximum limit. There will however be

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S R File No. 177 of 19 10

Serial No.

a minimum limit of Rs 4. There is thus every reason to think that the same principles regulate the levy of rent from bonded depot keepers and that the rents noted in the Chief Engineer's statement are calculated according to the said rule. Part the Excise Com suggests that the rent for all Govt depot buildings be fixed at Rs 2/ except the depot at Bannerpet for which Rs 5/ is proposed. The amount of rent levied for depends upon the present value of the building and the portion thereof occupied. The levy of a uniform fixed sum of Rs 2/- for every depot building is therefore outside the scope of the G.O referred to and has, if considered necessary, to be sanctioned as a special case.

The ground on which the recommendation is made is that the rent is to be borne by the depot mudaddy who being a poorly paid employee of the Carrying Contractor, finds the amount too heavy.

The Chief Engineer may perhaps be consulted in the matter.

Submitted for orders.

ASR (12)
1/5

The proposal deserves favourable Consideration. If

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1/5/11

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SA File No. [7] of 1910

Serial No.

appd. the Ch Engr will be Consulted.

4/5/11. SA

Sec) But it is the carrying Contractor that has to pay the rent is it not? Is there not a clause to that effect in the agreement?

CSB
6/5/11

Offic- please note & put up the form of the Govt Contract.

6/5/11. SA

Submitted

Yes. The house rent has to be paid for by the Contractor himself. Please see clause 5 forming a agreement (Appendix S II) attached to the notification calling for tenders for the carrying Contract.

Why is not a duplicate print copy kept with file?

Appointed copy is now put up & the original file removed

ADC (14) 8/5

The Carrying Contractor no doubt pays the rent but this is as between the Govt and himself. As between

CSB 8/5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

B.III 1503

4

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S-61 File No. (77) of 1900

Serial No.

the Contractor over his workings, it is probably the case that may have it is on their behalf that the recommendation for favourable treatment is made.

All the same, the result and benefit is the Carrying Contract's.

4/5/11. SA

Sec'y The Chiefs opinion may be invited. A uniform rate of rent would no doubt be good, but it need not be unnecessarily low. Perhaps a suitable average figure may be arrived at, taking into consideration all the places where the depots located in port buildings.

ABS
4/5/11

Pr 20/143
9/13-5-11
Note 2 pts

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer to Report for favour of opinion

13.5.11

Submitted
17/5

Secretary
12/5/11

Note:—Contn. sheets 5

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

P. W. D. No. 1027 16 5/11

for Sec'y to Govt

S/A 17/10 (5)

General and Revenue Secretariat Official No. 20 dated 27th July 1911, requesting that the papers of the General and Revenue Secretariat forwarded to this office with No. No 143 dated 18th May 1911 may be returned

No. 7083 / Endorsement* dated 5-th August 1911.

The papers called for are herewith returned

2 The question raised by the Excise Commissioner regarding the levy of a uniform rate of rent for Government Bonded Godowns used as Arrack Bonded Warehouses is under correspondence with the Superintending Engineer Northern Circle. On receipt of certain information on the subject called for from him, the opinion of this office will be communicated in due course

*No. No: 143 with the connected papers & Plan book are herewith Returned

Executive Engineer
Deputy Chief Engineer
Nagpore

Rr III no: 428

(56)

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 177 of 1910

Serial No.

No 7640
22-8-11

Forwarded for the Chief Engineer in Mysore for favour of furnishing his opinion on the point referred to in the previous para of these notes.

By Order

Ag 23/8 Rs
23-8-11

B. V. Lakshmana Rao
for Offg Secy to Govt

Rr III)

R. offl. No: 187-(P.W.)
Dt 23-8-11

The Excise Commissioner proposes that a uniform rent of Rs 2 be fixed for all Government buildings used as Bonded Depots, on the plea that the Depot Mutsaddies who are to meet the rents are poorly paid employees of the Arrack Carrying Contractors.

2. It has to be borne in mind that there must be some principle guiding the procedure. At present, the primary consideration on which rents are fixed is the extent of the accommodation made available or the capital cost thereof. It is not therefore desirable to levy uniform rents, unless the capital cost of the buildings is equal or almost equal.

That such is not the case, will be seen from the statement hereunder:-

P.T.O.

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

(7)

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 177 of 1910

Serial No.

Locality.	Name of building.	Capital cost or present value of portion of building used as Bonded Depot	Rent fixed at half per cent on capital cost.
		Rs	Rs as ps
1. Bangalore	Excise Inspector's office in Fort.	700	3-8-0
2. Mysore	Taluk Cutcherry	1,000	5-0-0
3. Kolar	do	700	3-8-0
4. Bowringpet	do	1,200	6-0-0
5. Srinivasapur	do	1,200	6-0-0
6. Thirthahalli	do	1,600	8-0-0
7. Tarikere	do	500	2-8-0
8. Koppa	do	750	4-0-0
9. Yedahalli	do	600	3-0-0
		Total	41-8-0
		Average	4-9-9

3. The Mutsaddies are not Government servants but private employees of the contractors. Their pay is intended to cover the rent of the buildings used for storing liquor. If, in particular cases, the rent ~~the rent~~ would leave ~~have~~ little for the Mutsaddies, the ~~contractors must compensate them either by increased~~ pay or allotting separate amounts for rent of the buildings.

4. If Government in the Excise Department wish to help either the Mutsaddies or the contractors, by

Quite so - that the contractor pays his employee very little is no argument for Govt paying more and the latter !

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 - 12-8-10

8

Serial No.

all means they may be pleased to do so by ordering the payment of the rents due to the D.P.W. from the Excise Department Budget.

M. Muhammad Ali
Deputy Chief Engineer,
Mysore P. W. D.

Bangalore,
18th November 1911.

(Chief Engineer is absent from headquarters)

Submitted for orders. We concur in the suggestion at the concluding portion of the above does not seem to be practicable.

Recd 50
Issued
RR
21-11-11.

The proposal of the Ex. Commr may perhaps be negatived. The figures furnished by the Ch. Engr show that a uniform rate of Rs 2/- would be unduly advantageous to the Contractor

23/11/11

Keep
23.11.11

See 7. Please see marginal remarks in C-note. Please put up a draft reply.

CBB
9/12/12

9/12/12

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

(9)

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SSR File No. 177 of 1910

Serial No.

Drafted

Reg 50
18/2/12
RVR
12.2.12

SD
12/2/12

See

Issue
CB
24/2/12

Draft maybe approved

2nd C

CB
24/2/12
SD
24/2/12

CB
424
8.3.12

Draft appd by 2nd Cr

Sig (52)
8.3.12

SSD

4/3/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.O. File No. 177 of 1910

Serial No.	
2	To the Excise can no 7L 3886 d/14-3-12 States that Govt see no reason to change the present system To the Chief Engineer no 7L 3887 d/14-3-12 forwards copy of the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

D 4266

No 8364
2:1

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1911.

Sgh 177-1080

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to enclose a statement sent by the Chief Engineer showing the rents chargeable for the Government buildings occupied as Arrack Bonded Depots. From the same, it will be seen that the amounts charged are too heavy, as this item has to be borne by the Depot Mutsaddy, who is a poorly paid employee of the Carrying contractor.

2. I have, therefore, to submit that the provisions in clause 4 of Government Order No. 291-324 2149-82 dated 26-3-08, fixing the -- minimum rent for Government buildings occupied by private parties at Rs.2/- per month, be extended in these cases and that the rent for all Government Depot buildings be fixed at Rs.2/- with the only excep -tion of the Depot at Bowringpet which may be charged at Rs.5/- per month, the remuneration of that Mutsaddy being higher

3. I have, therefore, to request you to place this before Government and obtain their orders and communicate the same to the Chief Engineer as well.

I have the Honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ramanna

for Excise Commissioner.

1

04266

191/ .

From

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq B.A.
C.S. *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 15. 4 19

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the orders of Govt a uniform Scale of Rs per month rent to the Bonded Depots located in Govt buildings in mufassil places

GPB—2,000—31-7-09

1

Statement showing the Government Buildings in which

each case, and the arrears due, if any.

Sr.	Division	Locality	Name of Govt buildings in which ware houses are located.	Amount of Rent levied			Arrears due, if any		
1	Bangalore	Bangalore	Portion of Excise Inspector's office in the Fort occupied by the Arrack Depot	3	8				
2	Kolar	Kolar	Taluk Cutcherry	3	-		81		
		Srinivasapur	Do						
		Bowringpet	Do						
3	Shimoga	Chennagiri	Old Taluk Cutcherry						
		Muttenhalley	Taluk Cutcherry						
4	Kadur	Tarikere	Do	2	8		25	4	1
		Koppa	Do						
				4	0	0	32	0	0
	N.B.	The Executive Engineers of Tumkur, Chitaldrug located in any of the govt buildings.							

Excise bonded ware houses are located, the rent levied in

17(a)

Remarks

Rent of Rs 3-8-0 fixed in C. E. No. 5077 of 7th July 1909

Executive Engineer reports that the ware house is located in one of the rooms, for which a rent of Rs 3/- per month was fixed by the Chief Engineer in his No. 6248 of the 19th Sept 1908 and that the Amildar state, that steps are being taken to recover rent from the 1st July 1908 up to date. To the end of October 1910, Rs 81 is due.

Ex. Engr. reports that the ware house is located in one of the rooms. Rent is not levied.

Ex. Engr. reports that there is a detached building in the compound of the Taluk Cutcherry. Half of which is used as bonded warehouse, for which rent is not levied.

Ex. Engr. reports that no rent is levied. The building was occupied from Sept 1908.

Do ——— Do ——— from 22nd May 1908.

* Arrears due to end of August 1910, including the amount paid by the Mutsaddis from June 1910 are not yet intimated.

* Hassan Divisions report that no bonded warehouse is

For Chief Engineer
Mysore C. W. D.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 177-10

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 4266

2/21

No. 76386

14-3-12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *19*

To

The Excise Commr

in Mysore

Date of issue 14-3-12

Signed by W Ross Gamble

Sir

With reference to your letter No. 8364 dated the 12th April 1911 stating that the rents now charged on Govt. buildings used as arrack bonded depots, are borne by the ~~Hobly~~ paid Mutsaddis in charge of them, and proposing

over: that

SUBJECT.

Mar 1912

at a uniform rent of Rs. 2
: charged on fixed
timings. I am inclined to
take that if the fee for
ason to change the present
system. The fact that the
~~Contractor~~ Municipalities are
by the Contractors
ronly fixed, does not, in
the opinion of the Municipality
any clear ruling from to
him as it ~~should be left to the Contractor~~

I have to

So far as Govt are concerned
if the contractor rent is
liable for
to Compensate the
if necessary
Municipality. ~~by increasing~~
~~the rent~~

24/2/12

Govt file No

for

The Collector in Bijapur

The Chief Engineer

R.R.
12.12

List of enclosures,

No H.3867 of 14-3-12

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10